

RELEASE QUESTIONNAIRE

4. If property offense, value of property taken or damaged:

****DRAFT****

☐ Property was recovered

5. Name(s) of co-defendant(s):

****DRAFT****

CASE NO.

Page 3 of 5

3. Evidence of the offense was found in the defendant's possession

Explain:

E. CRIMES OF VIOLENCE

1. Relationship of defendant to victim:
- ☐ Victim(s) and defendant reside together
2. How was the situation brought to the attention of the police?
- ☐ Victim ☐ Third Party ☐ Officer observed
3. There are previous incidents involving these same parties
- Explain:
4. Is defendant currently the subject of:
- ☐ An order of protection ☐ Any other court order
- ☐ Injunction against harassment
- Explain:

4. Was the defendant under the influence of alcohol or drugs at the time of the offense?
- ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unk

H. DRUG OFFENSES

1. If the defendant is considered to be a drug dealer, please state the supporting facts:
2. What quantities and types of illegal drugs are directly involved in the offense?

F. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ISSUES (Check if applicable)

- Defendant's actions
- ☐ Threats of homicide/suicide/bodily harm
- ☐ Control/ownership/jealousy issues ☐ Crime occurs in public
- ☐ Prior history of DV ☐ Kidnapping
- ☐ Frequency/intensity of DV increasing ☐ Depression
- ☐ Access to or use of weapons ☐ Stalking behavior
- ☐ Violence against children/animals
- ☐ Multiple violations of court orders

- ☐ Drug field test completed
- ☐ Defendant admission of drug type
- Approximate monetary value: \$
3. Was any money seized?
- ☐ Yes ☐ No
- Amount: \$

G. CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE ARREST (Check if applicable)

1. Did the defendant attempt to:
- ☒ Avoid arrest ☐ Resist arrest ☐ Self Surrender
- Explain: LEFT THE SCENE KNOWING ABOUT COLLISION
- N/A
2. Defendant was armed when arrested
- Type:

I. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

1. Military Service:
- Has the defendant served in the military services of the United States? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unknown
- If yes, currently on active duty? ☐ Yes ☐ No
- Branches Served In:
(AF - Air Force AR - Army CG - Coast Guard MC - Marine Corp
MM - Merchant Marines NG - National Guard NV - Navy
RS - Reserves)
2. Is the defendant homeless?
- ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unknown
3. Do you need the court to provide an interpreter to help communicate and to understand what is being said?
- ☐ Yes ☒ No
- If so, what language:

If a fugitive arrest, a Form IVA must also be completed

I certify that the information presented is true to the best of my knowledge.

B. YNCLAN/579

ARRESTING OFFICER/SERIAL NUMBER

AZ0070500/480-782-

ARREST AGENCY/DUTY PHONE NUMBER

2019-10-23

DATE

2019127292/AZ0070500

DEPARTMENTAL REPORT NO.

/

DEPARTMENTAL REPORT NO.

/

DEPARTMENTAL REPORT NO.

****DRAFT****

CASE NO. _____

Page 4 of 5

ADDENDUM**B1. Probable Cause Statement**

ON OCTOBER 21, 2019 AT APPROXIMATELY 1758 HOURS, THE CHANDLER POLICE DEPARTMENT WAS DISPATCHED TO THE AREA OF N. ALMA SCHOOL ROAD AND W. ERIE STREET IN REFERENCE TO A SINGLE VEHICLE COLLISION INVOLVING TWO PEDESTRIANS. 911 CALLERS REPORTED BOTH PEDESTRIANS WERE STRUCK BY THE SUSPECT VEHICLE AS THEY WERE CROSSING N. ALMA SCHOOL ROAD. CALLERS ADVISED BOTH PEDESTRIANS WERE UNRESPONSIVE. RESPONDING OFFICERS WERE ON SCENE WITHIN MINUTES TO FIND THE TWO VICTIMS LAYING IN THE NORTHBOUND LANES OF N. ALMA SCHOOL ROAD JUST NORTH OF W. ERIE STREET. OFFICERS QUICKLY LEARNED THE VEHICLE THAT HAD STRUCK THE PEDESTRIANS HAD FLED NORTHBOUND ON N. ALMA SCHOOL ROAD AWAY FROM THE SCENE. THE FIRST VICTIM MOHAMMAD MISBAH UDDIN (DOB: 4/3/69) SUCCUMED TO HIS INJURIES AND WAS PRONOUNCED DECEASED AT THE SCENE. THE SECOND VICTIM ABDULLAH AL FAIAZ (DOB: 11/11/2005), THE JUVENILE SON OF UDDIN, WAS TRANSPORTED TO THE CHANDLER REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER WHERE HE TOO WAS LATER PRONOUNCED DECEASED FROM HIS INJURIES. ACCORDING TO WITNESS STATEMENTS AS WELL AS SCENE EVIDENCE, THE SUSPECT VEHICLE WAS TRAVELING NORTHBOUND IN THE NUMBER ONE LANE THROUGH THE INTERSECTION. THE TWO VICTIMS WERE CROSSING N. ALMA SCHOOL ROAD EASTBOUND IN THE MARKED CROSSWALK. WITNESSES ADVISED THE NORTH AND SOUTHBOUND TRAFFIC SIGNAL HAD TURNED GREEN WHEN THE VICTIMS WERE APPROXIMATELY HALF WAY ACROSS N. ALMA SCHOOL ROAD. WITNESSES SAID WHEN THE NORTHBOUND TRAFFIC SIGNAL TURNED GREEN THE SUSPECT VEHICLE ACCELERATED THROUGH THE INTERSECTION. AT THE SAME TIME BOTH VICTIMS HAD CROSSED THE CENTER PORTION OF THE ROADWAY AND HAD ENTERED THE NORTHBOUND LANES OF TRAVEL. THE SUSPECT VEHICLE THEN COLLIDED INTO BOTH VICTIMS AT THE SAME TIME. WITNESSES ESTIMATED THE SUSPECT VEHICLE SPEED AT BETWEEN 45-50 MILES PER HOUR. THE POSTED SPEED LIMIT IS 40 MILES PER HOUR ON N. ALMA SCHOOL ROAD. WITNESSES WERE ABLE TO PROVIDE A SUSPECT DESCRIPTION OF A WHITE FEMALE, BLONDE HAIR, BETWEEN 5'7" AND 5'8", APPROXIMATELY 190 POUNDS, WEARING BLACK SHORTS AND A BLACK TOP. BASED ON THE EXAMINATION OF THE EVIDENCE LEFT AT THE SCENE (VEHICLE DEBRIS) INVESTIGATORS WERE ABLE TO DETERMINE THE SUSPECT VEHICLE IS A BLACK DODGE DURANGO RANGING IN YEARS 2012-2016. BASED ON THE EVIDENCE SEIZED IT WAS DETERMINED THAT VEHICLE PARTS LEFT AT THE SCENE WERE FROM THE PASSENGER SIDE FRONT OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE PROCESSING THE CRIME SCENE I WAS INFORMED AN UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE HAD CALLED THE CHANDLER REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT AND INQUIRED ABOUT THE CONDITION OF THE PEDESTRIANS. THE UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE THEN TOLD A HOSPITAL NURSE THAT HER HUSBAND WAS DRIVING THE VEHICLE THAT HAD STRUCK THE PEDESTRIANS. THE NURSE TOLD THE FEMALE SHE COULD NOT RELEASE ANY INFORMATION OVER THE PHONE, AND TOLD THE FEMALE IF SHE HAD INFORMATION REGARDING THIS INCIDENT SHE NEEDED TO CONTACT THE CHANDLER POLICE DEPARTMENT. THE NURSE THEN SAID THE FEMALE HUNG UP THE PHONE. THE SUSPECT VEHICLE INFORMATION WAS PROVIDED TO LOCAL MEDIA OUTLETS. ON THE EVENING OF OCTOBER 22, 2019 INVESTIGATORS WERE NOTIFIED OF TIP THAT HAD BEEN CALLED IN FROM A TEMPE RESIDENT. THE RESIDENT TOLD INVESTIGATORS THEIR NEIGHBOR OWNS A BLACK DODGE DURANGO. THE RESIDENT THEN WENT ON TO SAY SHE OBSERVED THE VEHICLE IN FRONT OF THE RESIDENCE ON 10/22/2019 AT APPROXIMATELY 0650 HOURS WITH DAMAGE CONSISTENT WITH THE SUSPECT VEHICLE IN THIS CASE. THE RESIDENT THEN SAID WHEN SHE RETURNED HOME AT APPROXIMATELY 1830 HOURS, THE VEHICLE WAS STILL PARKED IN FRONT OF THE RESIDENT. THE RESIDENT WENT ON TO SAY SINCE SEEING THE VEHICLE IN THE MORNING SHE HAD SEEN THE MEDIA RELEASE, AND DECIDED TO CONTACT THE CHANDLER POLICE DEPARTMENT. WHEN ASKED, THE RESIDENT SAID HER NEIGHBORS HAVE ONLY LIVED AT 439 W. CALLE MONTE VISTA. TEMPE, ARIZONA FOR APPROXIMATELY ONE MONTH, AND WENT ON TO SAY SHE BELIEVED THEY WERE RENTING THE HOUSE, BUT COULD NOT BE SURE. I RESPONDED TO 439 W. CALLE MONTE VISTA IN TEMPE, ARIZONA AT APPROXIMATELY 2200 HOURS, WHERE I OBSERVED A BLACK DODGE DURANGO PARKED IN THE PUBLIC ROADWAY FACING EASTBOUND. UPON FURTHER INVESTIGATION I LEARNED THE VEHICLE IS REGISTERED TO MICHELLE ANN HAGERMAN (DOB: 12/30/1964), AND JENNIFER SUE LEMMON (4/20/1972). AFTER CHECKING THE VEHICLE REGISTRATION AS WELL AS THE DRIVER LICENSES FOR JENNIFER AND MICHELLE I LEARNED ALL HAD THE SAME ADDRESS IN PHOENIX LISTED. UPON EXAMINING THE EXTERIOR OF THE VEHICLE I OBSERVED SIGNIFICANT DAMAGE BASED ON MY TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE TO BE CONSISTENT WITH A VEHICLE VERSUS PEDESTRIAN COLLISION. I ALSO OBSERVED THE VEHICLE WAS MISSING PIECES OF THE HEAD LIGHT ASSEMBLY AS WELL AS PIECES OF THE

ADDENDUM (cont'd)

WHEEL WELL HOUSING. THESE ITEMS WERE RECOVERED AT THE CRIME SCENE. I FURTHER OBSERVED BLOOD EVIDENCE ON THE HOOD NEAR THE BASE OF THE WINDSHIELD WHICH LIKELY BELONGS TO THE VICTIM IN THIS CASE. A SEARCH WARRANT WAS DRAFTED THEN GRANTED BY THE MARICOPA COUNTY ATTORNEYS OFFICE TO SEIZE THE VEHICLE FROM THE FRONT OF THE RESIDENCE. DURING THE SERVICE OF THE SEARCH WARRANT THE DEFENDANT EMERGED FROM INSIDE OF THE RESIDENCE. I MADE CONTACT WITH THE DEFENDANT AND INFORMED HER WHY WE WERE THERE. THE DEFENDANT VERBALLY IDENTIFIED HERSELF AS MICHELLE HAGERMAN DOB 10/30/1964. I READ MICHELLE HER MIRANDA RIGHTS VERBATIM. MICHELLE VERBALLY ACKNOWLEDGED SHE UNDERSTOOD HER RIGHTS. MICHELLE TOLD ME SHE RECALLS TRAVELING NORTHBOUND ON ALMA SCHOOL AT THE TIME OF THE COLLISION. MICHELLE ACKNOWLEDGED SHE WAS INVOLVED IN A COLLISION AND SAID SHE THOUGHT SHE HIT A DOG. MICHELLE SAID SHE WAS THE SOLE OCCUPANT AND THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE AT THE TIME OF THE COLLISION. AFTER THE COLLISION MICHELLE SAID SHE PARKED HER VEHICLE, EXITED AND WALKED BACK TOWARD THE SCENE. WHILE OUTSIDE OF HER VEHICLE SHE TOLD ME SHE OBSERVED THE DAMAGE CAUSED BY THE COLLISION. WHEN SHE WALKED BACK TOWARD THE SCENE SHE SAID SHE OBSERVED SEVERAL PEOPLE EXITING THEIR CARS. AFTER SEEING SEVERAL PEOPLE AT THE SCENE SHE RE ENTERED HER VEHICLE AND LEFT THE SCENE. WHEN ASKED, MICHELLE SAID SHE KNEW IT WAS UNLAWFUL TO LEAVE THE SCENE OF A COLLISION. MICHELLE WAS BOOKED INTO THE MARICOPA COUNTY JAIL.